

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

FOR

REFLECTIVITY OPTIMIZATION FOR MULTILAYER STACKS

Inventor(s):

Lav IVANOVIC
Nicholas EIB
Xudong XU

Sawyer Law Group LLP
2465 E. Bayshore Road
Suite 406
Palo Alto, CA 94303

REFLECTIVITY OPTIMIZATION FOR MULTILAYER STACKS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[001] The present invention relates to lithography optimization techniques, and more particularly to a method for obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for complex multilayer stacks.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[002] Photolithography is a lithographic technique used to transfer the design of circuit paths and electronic elements of a chip onto a wafer's surface. A photomask is created with the design for each layer of the board or wafer (chip). The board or wafer is coated with a light-sensitive film (photoresist) that is hardened when exposed to light shining through the photomask. The board or wafer is then exposed to an acid bath (wet processing) or hot ions (dry processing), and the unhardened areas are etched away.

[003] Ideally, the photoresist pattern produced by the photolithography process and the substrate pattern produced by the subsequent etch process would precisely duplicate the pattern on the photomask. For a variety of reasons, however, the photoresist pattern remaining after the resist develop step may vary from the pattern of the photomask significantly.

[004] For example, many of the integrated circuit elements formed on the wafer's surface comprise multiple layers of thin film, interferences from which can result in critical dimension (CD) variation. The interferences are mainly caused

by the reflectivity from resist top and bottom interfaces, and can lead to the existence of standing waves and CD swing curves.

[005] FIG. 1 is a cross-sectional view of an incident of a light beam shown on a film stack shown during lithography. The light 10 shines through the resist 12, which is also referred to as the ambient, to the film stack 14. A portion of the light 18 passes through the film stack 14 into the substrate 16, another portion of the light 20 is reflected from the surface of the stack 14, and yet another portion of light 22 is reflected at boundaries between the layers in the stack 14. Optical functions, such as reflectivity of layer boundaries, can be computed by a set of parameters that include light wavelength, thickness of the stack layers, and a complex index of refractivity for the ambient, substrate, and each film layer.

[006] The optimization for the lithography application typically involves the minimization or maximization of reflectivity. The optimization can be carried out by finding values for the parameters that cause a cost function of reflectivity to yield an optimal value. It is difficult or very time consuming to obtain an optimal value for complex multilayer stacks 14.

[007] Software programs are available that simulate lithography process steps and parameters. PROLITH™ by KLA-Tencor Corporation of San Jose, California is an example of a standard lithography simulation program. PROLITH is capable of aerial image and three-dimensional resist image predictions. Although current lithography simulation programs, such as PROLITH, help lithographers reduce process development and process optimization times, such programs have

limitations. PROLITH, for example, performs the reflectivity minimization calculation by fixing two variables and finding the minimum of the function using the remaining variables. Two other variables are fixed, and the process is repeated for each layer. Thus, using conventional lithography simulation programs is a very iterative and time consuming process. In addition, results are not usually very accurate.

[008] Accordingly, what is needed is an improved method for obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for complex multilayer stacks. The present invention addresses such a need.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[009] The present invention provides a method for obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for complex multilayer stacks. Aspects of the present invention include generating a model of a multilayer stack and parameterizing each layer by a thickness and an index of refraction; allowing a user to input values for the parameters; calculating an extrema for a cost function of reflectivity R using the input parameter values; calculating sensitivity values S for the extrema points; and obtaining an optimal value by calculating a cost function $R + S$.

[010] According to the method and system disclosed herein, the present invention provides a method for obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for complex multilayer stacks that is capable of performing the calculation using multiple variables. In addition, the method is faster and more accurate than prior methods.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[011] FIG. 1 is a cross-sectional view of an incident of a light beam shown on a film stack shown during lithography.

[012] FIG. 2 is a flow diagram illustrating the process of obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for a complex multilayer stack in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

[013] FIG. 3 is a diagram illustrating an example modeled stack.

[014] FIG. 4 is a diagram illustrating the parameters of the multilayer stack.

[015] FIG. 5 is a diagram showing a parameter value input screen for the reflectivity optimization.

[016] FIG. 6 is a graph illustrating example sensitivity values for a cost function.

[017] FIGS. 7A and 7B are diagrams showing an example reflectivity simulation and experimental CD swing curve as a function of resist thickness.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[018] The present invention relates to obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for complex multilayer stacks. The following description is presented to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention and is provided in the context of a patent application and its requirements. Various modifications to the preferred embodiments and the generic principles and features described herein will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art. Thus, the present invention is

not intended to be limited to the embodiments shown, but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features described herein.

[019] The present invention provides a computer-implemented method for obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for a complex multilayer stack during lithography simulation. In a preferred embodiment, the present invention is implemented as a program that is separate, or part, of a lithography simulation program, and is executed on a conventional workstation, PC, or server.

[020] FIG. 2 is a flow diagram illustrating the process of obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for a complex multilayer stack in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. The process begins in step 100 by generating a model of a multilayer stack by adding layers of material on top of a substrate material, and parameterizing each layer by a thickness and an index of refraction in order to compute the optical functions.

[021] FIG. 3 is a diagram illustrating an example modeled stack 50. A stack may comprise N layers. However, in the example shown, stack 50 comprises a total of 6 layers: a top ambient resist layer 0 followed by four layers of materials (1, 2, 3, and 4) patterned over a substrate layer 5. For purposes of example, the materials comprising layers 1-4 are SiO₂, SiO_n, ILD, and Si₃N₄, respectively. All layers, ambient and substrate are supposed to be homogeneous, with abrupt and parallel border interfaces. Well-known Fresnel equations are calculated at normally incident plane wave with monochromatic illumination.

[022] FIG. 4 is a diagram illustrating the parameters of the multilayer stack 50. Assume that the multilayer stack comprises of N layers ($L = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$). Each layer 52 is parameterized by a thickness 54 and an index of refraction 56 (which includes a real and an imaginary number), including the ambient resist layer 0 and the substrate layer $N+1$. The j^{th} layer has thickness d_j , and complex index of refraction $n_j = n_j - i k_j$, as shown. The ambient and substrate, which are above and below the multilayer stack, have complex indexes of refraction: $n_0 = n_0 - i k_0$ and $n_{N+1} = n_{N+1} - i k_{N+1}$, respectively.

[023] The reflectivity 55 at an interface between two layers 52 can be defined as a cost function. For example, the reflectivity 55 at the j^{th} interface (between the $(j - 1)^{th}$ and j^{th} layers) is R_j , is a function of $3(N - j + 1) + 4$ parameters, which are: $n_{j-1}, n_j \dots n_N, n_{N+1}; k_{j-1}, k_j \dots k_N, k_{N+1}; d_j, d_{j+1} \dots d_N$. For lithography applications, the resist is usually on the top layer of multilayer stacks so that the cost functions (R_1 and R_2) are the reflectivity from the top and bottom of the resist. They can have $3N + 4$ and $3N + 1$ independent parameters, respectively.

[024] Based on practical problems, the cost functions can be evaluated and calculated by fixing some parameters and varying others. Local extrema (minima or maxima) of the cost functions of reflectivity for these multidimensional cases with defined feasible ranges may be solved by a well-known variable neighborhood method.

[025] Referring again to FIG. 2, after the stack 50 has been modeled, in step 102, the user inputs values for the parameters, including range and step values.

FIG. 5 is a diagram showing a parameter value input screen for the reflectivity optimization. The user may first enter values for the thickness 54 and the complex indexes of refraction (n and k) 56 and 58 for each layer 52. The values include a current starting point 60, a minimum values 62, and a maximum value 64. The user also chooses which of the parameters will be independent variables with feasible intervals by clicking the "Vary" button 59 and inputting a step value 66. Those parameters that are not designated as varying will be fixed. According to one aspect of the present invention, the user may vary any number of parameters. The user also chooses a particular layer for the reflectivity calculation (not shown).

[026] Referring again to FIG. 2, after the user has input parameter values, in step 104, the extrema (min and max) for the cost function of reflectivity R is calculated for the top of the selected layer interface using the input parameter values.

[027] Since the variability in film stacks in semiconductor processing can lead to a variation of the parameters, the sensitivity of reflectivity to the parameters has to be taken into consideration in order to find an optimal condition. Therefore, in step 106, all sensitivity values S for the extrema points are calculated. The sensitivity is defined as $S = (\text{Max } R - \text{Min } R)$ with all varied parameters, which represents how reflectivity values react to the variation of variables. The larger sensitivity, the higher magnitude of change of reflectivity should be. Smaller sensitivity values are preferred since the variation of thickness and refractive coefficients of materials results in smaller fluctuation of reflectivity.

[028] FIG. 6 is a graph illustrating example sensitivity values for a cost function. The global minimum value for the function is point *A*, but the responding sensitivity is high. The global minimum value for the reflectivity is point *A*, but the responding sensitivity in that point is high. Point *B* is an optimal point because the sensitivity value is much smaller than the value at point *A* though the reflectivity value is slight higher. In order to obtain the most favorable solution, another cost function, $R + \alpha S$, is computed in a preferred embodiment, in which α is a weighted parameter for sensitivity in the cost function. The larger alpha value, the more important the sensitivity is.

[029] Referring again to FIG. 2, after the sensitivity values are calculated, in step 108, a value for the cost function $R + \alpha S$ is calculated, from which an optimal value is obtained in step 110. The choice of the best optimal point also may depend upon other process considerations such as etch requirements.

[030] The simulation of reflectivity for a multilayer film stack was validated using experimental CD swing results since the resist CD swing curve is controlled by the refraction of light at the resist boundaries. For the experiment, a film stack comprising the following layers was used:

Ambient: air

Layer # 1: DP630, thickness = 290 – 600 nm

Layer # 2: SiON, thickness = 120 nm

Layer # 3: Black Diamond, thickness = 770 nm

Layer # 4: SiCO, thickness = 50 nm

Substrate: Cu

[031] In addition, the illumination wavelength is 248 nm. The reflectivity simulation and experimental CD curve as a function of resist thickness are shown in FIGS. 7A and 7B, respectively. The Standing Wave Ratio (SWR) is defined as $(\text{CD maximum} - \text{CD minimum}) / (\text{CD maximum} + \text{CD minimum}) * 100$. A lower SWR means lower developed resist CD variation due to resist thickness and substrate variation. The agreement between reflectivity simulation and experiment is excellent. The simulated reflectivity minima correspond to the bright field experimental developed resist CD maximums and the simulated reflectivity maxims correspond to the experimental developed resist CD minima. The extrema locations are quantified in Table 1.

[032] Table 1. Resist values at extremum points

Experiment (nm)	Simulation (nm)
342	342
378	378
413	413
448	448
484	485
519	518

[033] A method for obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for complex multilayer stacks has been disclosed that is capable of performing the reflectivity calculation using multiple variables at once. In addition, the method is faster and more accurate than prior methods.

[034] The present invention has been described in accordance with the embodiments shown, and one of ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize that there could be variations to the embodiments, and any variations would be within the spirit and scope of the present invention. Accordingly, many modifications may be made by one of ordinary skill in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the appended claims.